

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

WAYMO LLC,

No. C 17-00939 WHA

Plaintiff,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;  
OTTOMOTTO LLC; and OTTO  
TRUCKING LLC,


**ORDER DENYING PRO  
HAC VICE APPLICATION**

Defendants.

The *pro hac vice* application of Attorney Todd Marabella (Dkt. No. 1239) is **DENIED** for failing to comply with Local Rule 11-3. That rule requires an applicant to certify that “he or she is an active member in good standing of the bar of a United States *Court* or of the highest *court* of another State or the District of Columbia, *specifying such bar*” (emphasis added). Filling out the *pro hac vice* form from the district court website such that it identifies only the state of bar membership — *e.g.*, “the bar of The Commonwealth of Massachusetts” — is inadequate under the rule because it fails to identify a specific court. While the application fee does not need to be paid again, the application cannot be processed until a corrected form is submitted.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: August 17, 2017.

  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE